

Quasihomomorphisms from the integers into Hamming metrics

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

Jan Draisma, Rob Eggermont, **Tim Seynnaeve**, Nafie Tairi, Alejandro Vargas, Emanuele Ventura

A question by Kazhdan and Ziegler

Question 1 ([Kazhdan-Ziegler, Approximate cohomology, 2018]):

Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Does there exist a constant C = C(c) such that the following holds: For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and all functions $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

(1)
$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} : \operatorname{rk}(f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)) \leq c,$$

there exists a matrix v such that

$$(2) \forall x \in \mathbb{Z} : rk(f(x) - x \cdot v) \leq C?$$

- If c = 0 then f is a homomorphism of (additive) groups. Then C = 0.
- If f satisfies (??), we call f a c-quasimorphism.
- We focus on the space of diagonal matrices, which we identify with \mathbb{C}^n .
- The rank of a diagonal matrix is simply the **Hamming weight** w_H of the corresponding vector; i.e. the number of nonzero entries.
- We can without loss of generality assume that v = f(1); this increases the constant C by a factor ≤ 2 .

Example

Take c = 1 and $n \ge 3$, and define

$$f:\mathbb{Z}
ightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$x \mapsto \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2x+2}{5} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{x+2}{5} \right\rfloor, \alpha_x, 0, \dots, 0 \right), \text{ where } \alpha_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 5 \mid x, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

First couple of values:

$$f(0) = (0, 0, 1, ...)$$

$$f(1) = (0, 0, 0, ...)$$

$$f(2) = (1, 0, 0, ...)$$

$$f(3) = (1, 1, 0, ...)$$

$$f(4) = (2, 1, 0, ...)$$

$$f(5) = (2, 1, 1, ...)$$

$$f(6) = (2, 1, 0, ...)$$

$$f(7) = (3, 1, 0, ...)$$

$$f(8) = (3, 2, 0, ...)$$

$$f(9) = (4, 2, 0, ...)$$

$$f(10) = (4, 2, 1, ...)$$

$$f(11) = (4, 2, 0, ...)$$

$$f(12) = (5, 2, 0, ...)$$

$$f(13) = (5, 3, 0, ...)$$

$$f(14) = (6, 3, 0, ...)$$

• f is a 1-quasimorphism. For instance

$$f(14) - f(6) - f(8) = (1, 0, 0, ...)$$

has Hamming weight 1.

- $w_H(f(x) x \cdot f(1)) \le 3$, where equality is sometimes achieved.
- For $v = (\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, 0, ...)$, it holds that

$$W_H(f(x)-x\cdot v)\leq 2 \quad \forall x\in \mathbb{Z}.$$

c-quasimorphisms into diagonal matrices

Theorem 1:

Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. There exists a constant $C = C(c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and all c-quasimorphisms $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}^n$, we have

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}: w_H(f(a) - a \cdot f(1)) \leq C.$$

- Corollary: Theorem 1 holds with \mathbb{Q} replaced by any torsion-free abelian group (in particular: any field of characteristic 0), with the same C(c).
- We can choose C = 28c; this is most likely not optimal.

Proof sketch

Write $[a] = \{1, \ldots, a\}$. For $g : [2a] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, define the problem sets

- $P_1(g) := \{x \in [a] \mid g(x+1) \neq g(x) + g(1)\};$
- $P_a(g) := \{x \in [a] \mid g(x+a) \neq g(x) + g(a)\};$
- $P(g) := \{(x, y) \in [a] \times [a] \mid g(x + y) \neq g(x) + g(y)\}.$

Claim 1:

Let $g : [2a] \to \mathbb{Q}$ be any map such that $g(a) \neq ag(1)$, then

$$|P_1(g)| \ge qa$$
 or $|P_a(g)| \ge pa$ or $|P(g)| \ge ra^2$,

where q = 0.1167, p = 0.165, and r = 0.0765.

Why Claim 1 implies the theorem:

Write $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. Then

$$W_H(f(a) - a \cdot f(1)) > C$$

$$\stackrel{Claim1}{\Longrightarrow} WLOG # \{i : |P(f_i)| \ge ra^2\} > \frac{C}{3}$$

- $\Rightarrow \exists (x,y) \in [a] \times [a] \text{ such that } \# \{i : (x,y) \in P(f_i)\} > c$
- $\Longrightarrow f$ is not a c-quasimorphism.

Why you should believe Claim 1:

Fact: If $g: \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is a group morphism, then $g \equiv 0$.

- WLOG g(a) = 0 and $g(1) \neq 0$.
- Observe:
- $ightharpoonup P_a(g)$ small means: "g is almost a map from $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$."
- \triangleright P(g) small means: "g is almost a group homomorphism."
- ▶ If g is close to being constant, then $P_1(g)$ is large.
- So we are done if we can make the following precise:

If g is almost a group morphism $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$, then almost $g \equiv 0$.

Want to know how? See our new preprint:

[1] J. Draisma, R. Eggermont, **T. Seynnaeve**, N. Tairi, E. Ventura Quasihomomorphisms from the integers into Hamming metrics ArXiv: 2204.08392

1-quasimorphisms into symmetric matrices

Theorem 2:

If $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathsf{Sym}(n \times n, \mathbb{C})$ is a 1-quasimorphism, there is an $A \in \mathsf{Sym}(n \times n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$rk(f(x)-x\cdot A)\leq 2 \quad \forall x\in \mathbb{Z}.$$

• In particular, for c=1 the bound C=28 from Theorem 1 can be improved to C=2.

Proof sketch

- Without loss of generality, we can assume that f(1) = 0.
- Then we find that $rk(f(x+1)-f(x)) \le 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- So we write $\Delta_f(x) = f(x+1) f(x)$. This is a sequence of rank ≤ 1 matrices. Note that $f(x) = \Delta_f(1) + \cdots + \Delta_f(x-1)$ for x > 0.
- For instance, in the example our sequence looks like

$$x \cdots -4 -3 -2 -1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 9 \ 10 \ 11 \ 12 \ 13 \cdots$$

$$\Delta(x) \cdots e_1 e_2 e_1 e_3 -e_3 e_1 e_2 e_1 e_3 -e_3 e_1 e_2 e_1 e_3 -e_3 e_1 e_2 e_1 \cdots$$

• If f is a 1-quasimorphism, then for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$:

$$\operatorname{rk}(\Delta_f(1) + \cdots + \Delta_f(k) - \Delta_f(x) - \cdots - \Delta_f(x+k)) \leq 1.$$

• With some work, we can show that then Δ_f must look as follows:

$$x \cdots -2-1 \ 0 \ 1 \cdots p \cdots 2p \cdots$$

$$\Delta(x) \cdots ab \cdots b \ a \ \alpha -\alpha ab \cdots ba\beta -\beta ab \cdots ba\gamma -\gamma \cdots$$

where $ab \cdots ba$ is a length p-2 palindromic sequence of rank ≤ 1 matrices that lie in a fixed $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$.

- Then we can take $A = \frac{f(p-1)}{p} = \frac{a+b+\cdots+b+a}{p}$. Indeed:
- If x = kp, then $f(x) = k \cdot (a + b + \cdots + b + a) + \gamma = kpA + \gamma$, so $\operatorname{rk}(f(x) - x \cdot A) = \operatorname{rk}(\gamma) \le 1$.
- ► Else $p \nmid x$, and then both f(x) and A are in the aforementioned $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, which implies $\operatorname{rk}(f(x) x \cdot A) \leq 2$.

Summary and outlook

We answered Question 1 for diagonal matrices, and for symmetric matrices if c = 1. We don't yet know a proof for general matrices (even if c = 1); or for symmetric matrices and c > 1.

Contact Information

Tim Seynnaeve

- Web: https://mathsites.unibe.ch/seynnaeve/
- Email: tim.seynnaeve@math.unibe.ch